1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA \* \* \* 6 7 RJRN HOLDINGS, LLC, Case No. 2:15-CV-1257 JCM (NJK) 8 Plaintiff(s), ORDER 9 v. 10 RHONDA DAVIS, et al., 11 Defendant(s). 12 13 Presently before the court is defendant BAC Home Loans Servicing, LP's motion to 14 dismiss. (ECF No. 9). Plaintiff RJRN Holdings LLC filed a response, (ECF No. 13), and defendant 15 filed a reply. (ECF No. 14). 16 Defendant seeks dismissal on the grounds that Nevada Revised Statute 116.3116 is facially 17 unconstitutional. (ECF No. 9). A party that files a motion calling into question the constitutionality 18 of a state statute must promptly "file a notice of constitutional question stating the question and 19 identifying the paper that raises it, if . . . the parties do not include the state, one of its agencies, or 20 one of its officers or employees in an official capacity . . . . " Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.1(a)(1)(B). 21 22 23

The party must serve the notice on the state attorney general "by certified or registered mail or by sending it to an electronic address designated by the attorney general for this purpose." Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.1(a)(2). Defendant has provided no proof of compliance with this rule.

Rule 5.1 also requires the court to "certify to the appropriate attorney general that a statute has been questioned" under 28 U.S.C. § 2403. Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.1(b). This statute states that the court "shall permit the State to intervene for presentation of evidence, if evidence is otherwise admissible in the case, and for argument on the question of constitutionality." 28 U.S.C. § 2403(b).

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge

24

25

26

27

28

## Case 2:15-cv-01257-JCM-NJK Document 39 Filed 05/17/16 Page 2 of 2

1 In light of the foregoing rule and statute, the court will deny defendant's motion to dismiss 2 without prejudice to allow defendant and the court to comply and the attorney general to intervene. 3 Defendant may renew its motion after the attorney general has been afforded time to respond and 4 upon showing compliance with the notice requirement of Rule 5.1(a). 5 Accordingly, 6 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that defendant's motion to 7 dismiss (ECF No. 9), be, and the same hereby is, DENIED without prejudice. 8 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant shall provide notice to the Nevada attorney 9 general in compliance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5.1, and include proof of such notice 10 upon filing any further motions raising constitutional challenges. 11 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this court certifies to the Nevada attorney general that it 12 may rule on the constitutionality of the state statute at issue in this case, NRS 116.3116. The 13 attorney general shall have thirty (30) days within which to intervene on behalf of the state of 14 Nevada for presentation of argument on the question of the constitutionality of the statute. 15 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the clerk of court shall send a copy of this order by 16 certified mail to the Nevada attorney general. 17 DATED May 17, 2016. 18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge